1 2	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney
3 4	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
5 6 7 8 9	LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446) Special Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7368 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-0530 WHA
16	Plaintiff, v. STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
17 18 19	JUAN LUGO DELGADO, a/k/a Jose Lugo Delgado, a/k/a Juan Lugo, a/k/a Juan Delgado,)
20	Defendant.
21	
22	On September 14, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time,
23	the Court continued the matter to September 28, 2010. The parties have agreed to exclude the
24	period of time between September 14, 2010 and September 28, 2010, from any time limits
25	applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would
26	allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §
27	3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an
28	exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18
	STIPULATION & [PROPESED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

Case 3:10-cr-00530-WHA Document 9 Filed 09/22/10 Page 2 of 3 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement. SO STIPULATED: MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney LOWELL C. POWELL Special Assistant United States Attorney DATED: September 21, 2010 DATED: September 21, 2010 /s/STEVEN KALAR Attorney for JUAN LUGO DELGADO,

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 10-0530 WHA

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the September 14, 2010 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from September 14, 2010 through September 28, 2010 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 22, 2010.

W= Ahr

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP United States District Judge